Time to Begin Exam Preparation

 NOTE: Sample exam problems on Bio. 221
 web site; (a) exponential growth, (b) age structure, (c) logistic growth, (d) competition,
 (e) predator-prey.

Lecture 8

- Summary of interspecific competition
- Evolutionary predictions of Lotka-Volterra
 Begin predator-prey population dynamics
- S&S, Chap. 14
 - (notation warning for predator-prey: variabl names in S&S are nonstandard, so ours will be different...)

Lecture 8

Interspecific Competition Review

- We 'solved' the competition equations with a graphical analysis
- Four outcomes possible:
 - →Case 1: Species 1 wins
 - →Case 2: Species 2 wins
 - →Case 3: Coexistence
 - -Case 4: Conditional competitive exclusion





Graphical analysis - conclusions

- \rightarrow Qualitative outcome =f(K, α)
- r does not influence the outcome (exc. Case 4)
 Initial N does not influence the outcome (exc. Case 4)
- We can define the boundary conditions of stable coexistence - VERY IMPORTANT!

Practice Exam Problem

Wolves and coyotes have a somewhat odd form of competition. They edt a number of the same food items (e.g., hares, voles, etc.), but the coyote also scavenges food from wolf kills of larger prey. One might not think this is "competition" because clearly the coyotes are not allowed to come to the kill until the wolves are finished eating. However, the carcasses do disappear more quickly with coyotes around, such that wolves will have to find and kill another elk or bison more quickly when their food is eaten by coyotes. Occasionally wolves get rather feisty about the wily coyotes and will chase and even kill them. The other element is that wolves bring down food that coyotes could never kill themselves, potentially benefiting not competing with) coyotes. But in general, we can treat this system like two coupeting species because of the aggressive territoriality wolves show toward coyotes. For Yellowstone Park, let us assume K for coyotes (species 1) is 500 while K for wolves (species 2) is 200. The competitive effect of coyotes on coyotes 0.8 while the competitive effect of coyotes on wolves is 0.2.





Coexistence and species similarity Premise: Morphologically/physiologically similar species will compete strongly with each other

Coexistence and species similarity The greater the morphological or physiological difference between two species, the smaller the competition coefficient will be (or vice versa; the more similar two species are, the larger the competition coefficient)

















Two Similar Local Woodpeckers

 Hairy and downy woodpeckers are morphologically similar, however on average Hairys are 2x the weight of Downys



What would be predicted about these woodpeckers by the theory of character displacement? (A) In zones of sympatry, Hairys would be more than 2x larger than Downys (B) In zones of sympatry, Hairys would be 2x larger than Downys, (C) In zones of sympatry, Hairys would be <2x larger than Downys





















Summary

Competition problems involve understanding the zero growth isolines, the definitions of the competition coefficients, and inferring the outcome of competition from the zgi's

Competition theory predicts character displacement in zones of species range overlap due to selection pressure to lower competition coefficients

Lecture 8

Next lecture

Develop Volterra Predator-Prey Equations
 Implications of predator-prey theory
 Correspondence with the real world
 Sample problems

Lecture 8